BEFORE THE **SECURITIES** APPELLATE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Date of Decision: 09.01.2017

Appeal No. 415 of 2016

Jagdish C. Vora 31, Hari Niwas, 5th Floor, 'C Road', Marine Drive, Mumbai – 400020.

...Appellant

Versus

Securities and Exchange Board of India, SEBI Bhavan, Plot No. C-4A, G-Block, Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai – 400 051.

...Respondent

Mr. Jaikishan Lakhwani, Advocate i/b Mr. Anand Narayan for the Appellant.

Mr. Rajesh Nagori, Advocate i/b Juris Corp for the Respondent.

CORAM: Justice J.P. Devadhar, Presiding Officer

Jog Singh, Member

Dr. C.K.G. Nair, Member

Per: J.P. Devadhar (Oral)

1. Basic grievance of the appellant is that the appellant had filed four

complaints in the year 2000 and two complaints in the year 2016 alleging

that the open offer made by Messer Griesheim GMbH ('Griesheim' for

short) in the year 1997 to acquire the shares of Bombay Oxygen Corporation

Ltd. was in violation of SEBI (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and

Takeovers) Regulations, 1997 ('Takeover Regulations 1997' for short)

because requisite disclosures were not made in the open offer.

2. Counsel for SEBI brought to our notice a decision of the Apex Court

in case of Messer Holdings Ltd. vs Shyam M. Ruia & Ors. reported in

(2016) 11 SCC 484. From the said decision it is apparent that in view of the

inter-se dispute between the parties (to which the appellant was not a party)

the acquisition of shares through open offer made by Griesheim in the year

1997 has been the subject matter of Suits filed before the Bombay High

Court which are still pending. Although the complaints filed by the

appellant are claimed to be not traceable, in view of the fact that the

acquisition of shares through open offer has not attained finality, counsel for

SEBI on instruction states that if the appellant files a consolidated

complaint, SEBI would consider the same and pass appropriate order in

accordance with law.

3. In these circumstances, in view of the fact that the open offer made in

the year 1997 has not yet attained finality and in view of the fact that the

alleged violation of Takeover Regulations 1997 is not an issue raised in the

Suits pending before the Bombay High Court, we dispose of the appeal by

passing the following order:-

(a) appellant shall file a consolidated complaint relating to the

alleged violation of Takover Regulations 1997 within a period

of two weeks from today.

(b) if such an application is made by the appellant within a period

of two weeks from today, then SEBI shall consider the same on

merits and in accordance with law and pass appropriate order

thereon within a period of twelve weeks from the date of

receiving the consolidated complaint from the appellant.

4. Appeal is disposed of in the aforesaid terms with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Justice J.P. Devadhar

Presiding Officer

Sd/-Jog Singh

Member

Sd/-

Dr. C.K.G. Nair

Member

09.01.2017